

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. But 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/717,246	11/18/2003	Edward William Adams	7725-0001.10	7752		
23980 75	90 04/25/2005		EXAM	INER		
REED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP			TSOY, ELENA			
800 MENLO A MENLO PARK	VENUE, SUITE 210 L. CA 94025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1762			

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Attachment(s)

"	ш	Nonce	oi Kei	erences	Citet	יון נ	ľ	-032)	,	
_:				_					_	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4) [	Ш	Interview Summary (PTO-413	3)
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/717,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## Response to Amendment

Amendment filed on March 10, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1-9 are pending in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention has been withdrawn due to amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 1-3, 6, 7, 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bawendi et al (US 6,319,426) for the reasons of record as set forth in Paragraph No. 5 of the Office Action mailed on December 10, 2004.
- 6. Claims 4-5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bawendi et al (US 6,319,426) in view of Powers et al (US 5,162,445) for the reasons of record as set forth in Paragraph No. 6 of the Office Action mailed on December 10, 2004.
- 7. Claim 8 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bawendi et al (US 6,319,426) for the reasons of record as set forth in Paragraph No. 7 of the Office Action mailed on December 10, 2004.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicants' arguments filed March 10, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Bawendi et al fail to teach that more than two hydrophilic and more than two hydrophobic regions.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Bawendi et al teach that the outer layer may be comprised of a <u>block copolymer</u> that provides linking, hydrophilic and <u>hydrophobic functionalities</u>. Bawendi et al disclose that the copolymer includes at least a first block which contains a pendant group capable of functioning as a linking moiety and a <u>second</u> <u>block</u> having a pendant <u>hydrophilic functionalities</u>, where the polymer backbone can function as the hydrophobic region (See column 15, lines 55-62). Bawendi et al teach that the linking and <u>hydrophilic moieties can be attached</u> through intermediary spacing groups such as <u>alkyl spacing</u> group to provide greater access to the semiconductor surface (See column 15, lines 62-67). The

Art Unit: 1762

term"alkyl" in Bawendi et al includes up to 100 carbon atoms (See column 8, lines 45-47).

Bawendi et al teach that the spacing alkyl group Z, to which hydrophilic moiety Y is attached, is a <u>hydrophobic region</u> and has at least six carbon atoms (See column 4, lines 19-20, 51-52).

Therefore, there are more than two hydrophilic regions and more than two hydrophobic regions in Bawendi et al.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elena Tsoy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Thur. 9:00-7:30.

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-141523. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elena Tsoy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

April 19, 2005